Staff : DHANYA V V

Subject : MAT216 - MATHEMATICAL FOUNDATIONS FOR MACHINE LEARNING

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023

Batch : BTech KTU AM 2K21

Session Id : TE-SN1948-Jun-2023

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Users Completed : 40

Overall Score : 86.13

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	27	67.5%	**479.25**
		Good	14.13	9	22.5%	**127.17**
		Fair	10.28	4	10%	**41.12**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	26	65%	**377**
		Good	11.81	11	27.5%	**129.91**
		Fair	8.11	3	7.5%	**24.33**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	22	55%	**165**
		Good	5.71	13	32.5%	**74.23**
		Fair	4.04	3	7.5%	**12.12**
		Poor	1.13	1	2.5%	**1.13**
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.5%	**2.21**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	26	65%	**152.1**
		10 to 25	3.66	10	25%	**36.6**
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.5%	**1.91**
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	7.5%	**4.92**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	29	72.5%	**116**
		Yes	0.67	9	22.5%	**6.03**
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	5%	**3.3**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	24	60%	**222**
		Satisfactory	6.34	14	35%	**88.76**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	5%	**6.32**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	30	75%	**142.5**
		Too Fast	1.34	7	17.5%	**9.38**
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	7.5%	**4.2**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	27	67.5%	**185.76**
		Some Times	3.89	11	27.5%	**42.79**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	5%	**3.56**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	25	62.5%	**168.75**
		Indifferent	2.78	9	22.5%	**25.02**
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	5%	**2.56**
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	10%	**7.76**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	30	75%	**345**
		Not Sincere	1.33	5	12.5%	**6.65**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	7.5%	**15.18**
		Blank	4.03	2	5%	**8.06**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	28	70%	**315**
		Good	9.03	9	22.5%	**81.27**
		Fair	6.46	1	2.5%	**6.46**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	5%	**4.06**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : KAVITHA K V

Subject : CST202 - COMPUTER ORGANISATION AND ARCHITECTURE

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023   **Batch : BTech KTU AM 2K21**

Session Id : TE-SN1948-Jun-2023   **Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

Users Completed : 39   **Overall Score : 90.8**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	32	82.05%	**568**
		Good	14.13	6	15.38%	**84.78**
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.56%	**8**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	28	71.79%	**406**
		Good	11.81	9	23.08%	**106.29**
		Fair	8.11	1	2.56%	**8.11**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.56%	**4.09**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	29	74.36%	**217.5**
		Good	5.71	7	17.95%	**39.97**
		Fair	4.04	2	5.13%	**8.08**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.56%	**2.21**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	31	79.49%	**181.35**
		10 to 25	3.66	4	10.26%	**14.64**
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.56%	**1.91**
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	7.69%	**4.92**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	33	84.62%	**132**
		Yes	0.67	4	10.26%	**2.68**
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	5.13%	**3.3**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	27	69.23%	**249.75**
		Satisfactory	6.34	10	25.64%	**63.4**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	5.13%	**6.32**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	31	79.49%	**147.25**
		Too Fast	1.34	5	12.82%	**6.7**
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	7.69%	**4.2**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	31	79.49%	**213.28**
		Some Times	3.89	7	17.95%	**27.23**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	2.56%	**1.78**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	32	82.05%	**216**
		Indifferent	2.78	4	10.26%	**11.12**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	7.69%	**5.82**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	32	82.05%	**368**
		Not Sincere	1.33	3	7.69%	**3.99**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	5.13%	**10.12**
		Blank	4.03	2	5.13%	**8.06**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	28	71.79%	**315**
		Good	9.03	9	23.08%	**81.27**
		Fair	6.46	1	2.56%	**6.46**
		Poor	1.56	1	2.56%	**1.56**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness

•

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : ASNA SALAM

Subject : CST204 - DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023   **Batch : BTech KTU AM 2K21**

Session Id : TE-SN1948-Jun-2023   **Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

Users Completed : 37   **Overall Score : 91.58**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	29	78.38%	**514.75**
		Good	14.13	7	18.92%	**98.91**
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.7%	**8**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	31	83.78%	**449.5**
		Good	11.81	5	13.51%	**59.05**
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.7%	**4.09**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	30	81.08%	**225**
		Good	5.71	3	8.11%	**17.13**
		Fair	4.04	2	5.41%	**8.08**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	5.41%	**4.42**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	29	78.38%	**169.65**
		10 to 25	3.66	4	10.81%	**14.64**
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.7%	**1.91**
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	8.11%	**4.92**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	30	81.08%	**120**
		Yes	0.67	5	13.51%	**3.35**
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	5.41%	**3.3**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	30	81.08%	**277.5**
		Satisfactory	6.34	3	8.11%	**19.02**
		Inadequate	2.50	2	5.41%	**5**
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	5.41%	**6.32**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	30	81.08%	**142.5**
		Too Fast	1.34	4	10.81%	**5.36**
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	8.11%	**4.2**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	29	78.38%	**199.52**
		Some Times	3.89	6	16.22%	**23.34**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	5.41%	**3.56**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	32	86.49%	**216**
		Indifferent	2.78	3	8.11%	**8.34**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	5.41%	**3.88**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	32	86.49%	**368**
		Not Sincere	1.33	3	8.11%	**3.99**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	2.7%	**5.06**
		Blank	4.03	1	2.7%	**4.03**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	30	81.08%	**337.5**
		Good	9.03	5	13.51%	**45.15**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	1	2.7%	**1.56**
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	2.7%	**2.03**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : SRUTHY SHAIJU

Subject : CST204 - DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023   **Batch : BTech KTU AM 2K21**

Session Id : TE-SN1948-Jun-2023   **Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

Users Completed : 37   **Overall Score : 89.5**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	25	67.57%	**443.75**
		Good	14.13	10	27.03%	**141.3**
		Fair	10.28	1	2.7%	**10.28**
		Poor	3.53	1	2.7%	**3.53**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	26	70.27%	**377**
		Good	11.81	9	24.32%	**106.29**
		Fair	8.11	1	2.7%	**8.11**
		Poor	2.01	1	2.7%	**2.01**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	27	72.97%	**202.5**
		Good	5.71	7	18.92%	**39.97**
		Fair	4.04	2	5.41%	**8.08**
		Poor	1.13	1	2.7%	**1.13**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	28	75.68%	**163.8**
		10 to 25	3.66	4	10.81%	**14.64**
		More than 25	1.91	3	8.11%	**5.73**
		Can't Judge	1.64	2	5.41%	**3.28**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	29	78.38%	**116**
		Yes	0.67	5	13.51%	**3.35**
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	8.11%	**4.95**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	27	72.97%	**249.75**
		Satisfactory	6.34	6	16.22%	**38.04**
		Inadequate	2.50	2	5.41%	**5**
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	5.41%	**6.32**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	29	78.38%	**137.75**
		Too Fast	1.34	5	13.51%	**6.7**
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	8.11%	**4.2**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	29	78.38%	**199.52**
		Some Times	3.89	6	16.22%	**23.34**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	5.41%	**3.56**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	29	78.38%	**195.75**
		Indifferent	2.78	6	16.22%	**16.68**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	5.41%	**3.88**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	32	86.49%	**368**
		Not Sincere	1.33	3	8.11%	**3.99**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	2.7%	**5.06**
		Blank	4.03	1	2.7%	**4.03**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	30	81.08%	**337.5**
		Good	9.03	4	10.81%	**36.12**
		Fair	6.46	1	2.7%	**6.46**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	5.41%	**4.06**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : REJIMOAN R

Subject : CST206 - OPERATING SYSTEM

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023

Session Id : TE-SN1948-Jun-2023

Users Completed : 37

Batch : BTech KTU AM 2K21

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 91.97

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	30	81.08%	532.5
		Good	14.13	6	16.22%	84.78
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.7%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	31	83.78%	449.5
		Good	11.81	5	13.51%	59.05
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.7%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	29	78.38%	217.5
		Good	5.71	5	13.51%	28.55
		Fair	4.04	2	5.41%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.7%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	29	78.38%	169.65
		10 to 25	3.66	5	13.51%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.7%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	2	5.41%	3.28
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	29	78.38%	116
		Yes	0.67	5	13.51%	3.35
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	8.11%	4.95
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	30	81.08%	277.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	5	13.51%	31.7
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	5.41%	6.32
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	33	89.19%	156.75
		Too Fast	1.34	1	2.7%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	8.11%	4.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	30	81.08%	206.4
		Some Times	3.89	5	13.51%	19.45
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	5.41%	3.56
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	30	81.08%	202.5
		Indifferent	2.78	4	10.81%	11.12
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	2.7%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	5.41%	3.88
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	32	86.49%	368
		Not Sincere	1.33	3	8.11%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	2.7%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	1	2.7%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	30	81.08%	337.5
		Good	9.03	4	10.81%	36.12
		Fair	6.46	1	2.7%	6.46
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	5.41%	4.06

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
- Has good subject knowledge

Head of The Department

Staff : SARANYA B S

Subject : EST200 - DESIGN AND ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023

Session Id : TE-SN1948-Jun-2023

Users Completed : 36

Batch : BTech KTU AM 2K21

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 88.24

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	24	66.67%	**426**
		Good	14.13	8	22.22%	**113.04**
		Fair	10.28	2	5.56%	**20.56**
		Poor	3.53	1	2.78%	**3.53**
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.78%	**8**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	24	66.67%	**348**
		Good	11.81	7	19.44%	**82.67**
		Fair	8.11	4	11.11%	**32.44**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.78%	**4.09**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	25	69.44%	**187.5**
		Good	5.71	9	25%	**51.39**
		Fair	4.04	1	2.78%	**4.04**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.78%	**2.21**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	29	80.56%	**169.65**
		10 to 25	3.66	4	11.11%	**14.64**
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	8.33%	**4.92**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	27	75%	**108**
		Yes	0.67	5	13.89%	**3.35**
		Can't Judge	1.65	4	11.11%	**6.6**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	24	66.67%	**222**
		Satisfactory	6.34	8	22.22%	**50.72**
		Inadequate	2.50	1	2.78%	**2.5**
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	8.33%	**9.48**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	30	83.33%	**142.5**
		Too Fast	1.34	3	8.33%	**4.02**
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	8.33%	**4.2**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	29	80.56%	**199.52**
		Some Times	3.89	5	13.89%	**19.45**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	5.56%	**3.56**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	31	86.11%	**209.25**
		Indifferent	2.78	3	8.33%	**8.34**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	5.56%	**3.88**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	29	80.56%	**333.5**
		Not Sincere	1.33	4	11.11%	**5.32**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	5.56%	**10.12**
		Blank	4.03	1	2.78%	**4.03**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	25	69.44%	**281.25**
		Good	9.03	6	16.67%	**54.18**
		Fair	6.46	2	5.56%	**12.92**
		Poor	1.56	2	5.56%	**3.12**
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	2.78%	**2.03**

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : DR.MANOJ B C

Subject : MCN202 - CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023   **Batch : BTech KTU AM 2K21**

Session Id : TE-SN1948-Jun-2023   **Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

Users Completed : 36   **Overall Score : 91.24**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	29	80.56%	**514.75**
		Good	14.13	4	11.11%	**56.52**
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	3.53	2	5.56%	**7.06**
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.78%	**8**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	29	80.56%	**420.5**
		Good	11.81	4	11.11%	**47.24**
		Fair	8.11	1	2.78%	**8.11**
		Poor	2.01	1	2.78%	**2.01**
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.78%	**4.09**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	30	83.33%	**225**
		Good	5.71	4	11.11%	**22.84**
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.13	1	2.78%	**1.13**
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.78%	**2.21**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	29	80.56%	**169.65**
		10 to 25	3.66	5	13.89%	**18.3**
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.64	2	5.56%	**3.28**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	28	77.78%	**112**
		Yes	0.67	4	11.11%	**2.68**
		Can't Judge	1.65	4	11.11%	**6.6**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	27	75%	**249.75**
		Satisfactory	6.34	6	16.67%	**38.04**
		Inadequate	2.50	2	5.56%	**5**
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.78%	**3.16**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	32	88.89%	**152**
		Too Fast	1.34	2	5.56%	**2.68**
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	5.56%	**2.8**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	31	86.11%	**213.28**
		Some Times	3.89	3	8.33%	**11.67**
		No	0.74	2	5.56%	**1.48**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	31	86.11%	**209.25**
		Indifferent	2.78	4	11.11%	**11.12**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	2.78%	**1.94**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	32	88.89%	**368**
		Not Sincere	1.33	3	8.33%	**3.99**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	**0**
		Blank	4.03	1	2.78%	**4.03**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	29	80.56%	**326.25**
		Good	9.03	5	13.89%	**45.15**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	2	5.56%	**3.12**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : DR.SUMESH C.K.

Subject : AOT284 - APPLIED THERMODYNAMICS

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023

Session Id : TE-SN1948-Jun-2023

Users Completed : 1

Batch : BTech KTU AM 2K21

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 99.98

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	1	100%	**17.75**
		Good	14.13	0	0%	**0**
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	1	100%	**14.5**
		Good	11.81	0	0%	**0**
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	1	100%	**7.5**
		Good	5.71	0	0%	**0**
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	1	100%	**5.85**
		10 to 25	3.66	0	0%	**0**
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	**0**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	1	100%	**4**
		Yes	0.67	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	**0**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	1	100%	**9.25**
		Satisfactory	6.34	0	0%	**0**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	**0**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	1	100%	**4.75**
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	**0**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	1	100%	**6.88**
		Some Times	3.89	0	0%	**0**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	1	100%	**6.75**
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	**0**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	**0**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	1	100%	**11.5**
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	**0**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	**0**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	1	100%	**11.25**
		Good	9.03	0	0%	**0**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : DR.SANDEEP C S

Subject : MRT282 - FUNDAMENTALS OF ANALOG AND DIGITAL ELECTRONICS

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023   **Batch : BTech KTU AM 2K21**

Session Id : TE-SN1948-Jun-2023   **Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

Users Completed : 3   **Overall Score : 99.98**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	3	100%	**53.25**
		Good	14.13	0	0%	**0**
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	3	100%	**43.5**
		Good	11.81	0	0%	**0**
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	3	100%	**22.5**
		Good	5.71	0	0%	**0**
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	3	100%	**17.55**
		10 to 25	3.66	0	0%	**0**
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	**0**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	3	100%	**12**
		Yes	0.67	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	**0**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	3	100%	**27.75**
		Satisfactory	6.34	0	0%	**0**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	**0**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	3	100%	**14.25**
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	**0**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	3	100%	**20.64**
		Some Times	3.89	0	0%	**0**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	3	100%	**20.25**
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	**0**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	**0**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	3	100%	**34.5**
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	**0**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	**0**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	3	100%	**33.75**
		Good	9.03	0	0%	**0**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department